

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/965,386	09/27/2001	Eric L. Andersen	10017511-1	2925	
75	90 03/11/2004		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LEE, DIANE I		
P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		· 2876		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/965,386	ANDERSEN ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	D. I. Lee	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-9,11-15,17-23 and 25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10/6/03,							
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
		D. I. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876	Lu				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Matsukawa, Abe, and Akyama still meets the limitations as broadly set forth in the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-4,6-9,11-15,17-23 and 25 are remain rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection mailed on 12/2/03.

In response to applicant's statement of requesting to consider the references cited on the previoulsy filed Information Disclosure Statement (i.e., IDS filed on September 24, 2003, which applicant also provided a copy of the IDS in the same response after Final, see applicant's comments on page, lines 25+), the examiner only finds the copy of IDS filed on 10/6/03 in the case and finds no record of IDS filed on 9/24/03 in the case. The examiner has considered the references and attached the copy of IDS filed on 10/6/03 with the examiner's initials with PTO-303 (paper no. 0304). The examiner points out to the applicant that the copy of IDS that accompaning the the response after Final is not a correct IDS paper for the instant application (i.e., the application s/n does not match with the instant application) Accordingly, the examiner has not considered the references.